PTO/SB/21 (05±03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Inder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Application Number** 10/765,427 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL January 27, 2004 **FORM** First Named Inventor Robert LaFave et al. Art Unit To be assigned be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name** To be assigned Attorney Docket Number POL.00011 12 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance communication to Group Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Fee Attached Appeal Communication to Group Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidavits/declaration(s) Other Enclosure(s) (please Terminal Disclaimer Identify below): **Extension of Time Request** Petition to Make Special Under 37 Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** CFR §1.102(D); Declaration of Robert LaFave; and Return Receipt Postcard CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Remarks Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Warn, Burgess & Hoffmann, P.C. Philip, Rn Warn - Reg No. 32775 Individual name Signature Date April 13, 2004 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Typed or printed name Philip R. Warn - Reg. No. 32775

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature

Date

April 13, 2004

April 13, 2004

Date

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0303 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE	TRANSMITTA	L
,	for FY 2004	

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

Signature

Complete if Known			
Application Number	10/765,427	·	
Filing Date	January 27, 2004		
First Named Inventor	Robert LaFave et al.		
Examiner Name	To be assigned		
Art Unit	To be assigned		
Attorney Docket No.	POL.00011		

Check Credit card Money Other None Order Deposit Account: Deposit Account: Deposit Account: Name The Director Is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account. The Birctor Is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee
Deposit Account: Deposit Account Number Deposit Account Name The Director Is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Coredit any overpayments to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Pee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee
Deposit Account Number Deposit Account Name The Director Is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below
Number Deposit Account Name The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) 1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee 1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee 1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee 1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 1052 50 2052 25 Surcharge - late filing fee or cover sheet 1053 130 Non-English specification 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1804 920* 1804 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to
Account Name The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) 1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee 1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee 1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee 1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee 1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0.00 1053 130 1053 130 Non-Engliss specification 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1804 920* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting pu
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account. Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1805 1,840* 1805 1
To the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fe
1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Peld 1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee 1004 770 2003 265 Plant filing fee 1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee 1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 1005 160 200
1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Pald 1252 420 2252 210 Extension for reply within second month 1253 950 2253 475 Extension for reply within fourth month 1254 1,480 2254 740 Extension for reply within fourth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1252 420 255 255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fourth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fourth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fourth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply with
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fe
125 1,400 225 1,005 Extension for reply within fourth month 125 1,400 225 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 125 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1401 330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,330 2453 1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 2453 1,330 1,330 2453 1,330 1,330 1,330 1,330 1,330 1,330 1,
1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee 1255 2,010 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1401 330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1402 330 2403 145 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,330 2453 6
1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee 1401 330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1453 1,330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1453 1,330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1453 1,330 2402 165 Petition to revive - unintentional 1451 1,510 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,330 2402 165 Petition to revive - unintentional 1451 1,510 Petition to revive - u
1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 3 1403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 2452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 2453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0.00 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional
2 EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR LITH ITY AND REISSUE
2 EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR LITTLITY AND REISSUE 1453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional
12. EXTRA CLAIM FEED FOR UTILITY AND REIDOUE 4504 4 200 2504 CCC Hilling to a fee fee fee feet and feet feet feet feet feet feet feet fee
Fee from 1501 1,330 2501 665 Utility issue fee (or reissue)
Extra Claims below Fee Paid 1502 480 2502 240 Design issue fee Total Claims 20** = X -0.00 1503 640 2503 330 Plant issue fee
Independent 1503 640 2503 320 Plant issue lee
Claims 1460 130 Petitions to the Commissioner 150.00
1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Description 1806 180 1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt Submission Observed Stmt Sub
Code (\$) Code (\$) 8021 40 8021 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 1809 770 2809 385 Filling a submission after final rejection
1201 86 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3 (37 CFR 1.129(a)) 1203 290 2203 145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 1810 770 2810 385 For each additional invention to be
1203 290 2203 145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 1810 770 2810 385 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))
- 1204 66 2204 43 Reissue independent damins over original patent 1801 770 2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00 Other fee (specify)
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 130.00
SUBMITTED BY (Complete (if applicable))
Name (Print/Type) Philip & Warn Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 32775 Telephone (248) 364-4300

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/765,427

Filing Date:

January 27, 2004

Examiner:

To be assigned

Group Art Unit:

To be assigned

Applicants:

Robert LaFave and Robert Bowling

Title:

DECORATIVE LAMINATE COMPOSITE AND METHOD

Attorney Docket:

POL.00011

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: The Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450, on [13] 2014. By:

PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(D)

The Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a Petition to Make Special the above-identified patent application. The grounds and conditions for granting this application special status are found in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 708.02 II entitled "Infringement."

The Petition Fee required pursuant to Rule 1.17(h) is enclosed.

04/16/2004 MAHMED1 00000054 10765427

01 FC:1460

130.00 OP

As provided for in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 708.02 II, Applicants

agree to the special examining procedure detailed therein. In support of this Petition,

Applicants submit the Declaration of Robert LaFave, a co-inventor of this application,

alleging:

(A) That there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in

use;

(B) That a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product, or method with

the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his opinion, some of the claims

are unquestionably infringed; and

(C) That he has made or caused to be made a careful and thorough search of the

prior art or has a good knowledge of the pertinent prior art.

The Applicants previously provided one copy of each of the references deemed

most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims in the Information

Disclosure Statement submitted on March 24, 2004.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 501612. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed

herewith for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

WARN, BURGESS & HOFFMANN, P.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Philip R. Warn

Reg. No. 32,775

P.O. Box 70098

Rochester Hills, MI 48307 Telephone: (248) 364-4300

Fax: (248) 364-4285

2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

blication No.:

10/765,427

Filing Date:

January 27, 2004

Examiner:

Not Assigned Yet

Group Art Unit:

Not Assigned Yet

Applicants:

Robert LaFave and Robert Bowling

Title:

DECORATIVE LAMINATE COMPOSITE AND METHOD

Attorney Docket:

POL.00011

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: The Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450, on

DECLARATION OF ROBERT LAFAVE

The Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

- I, Robert LaFave, declare as follows:
- (1) I am one of the Applicants in the above-indicated application, which covers, without limitation, thermoformable support films comprised of a material having a tensile strength greater than 0.5 pounds per linear inch (pli) at 300°F, wherein the support film is

operable to releasably adhere to and support a polymeric substrate during a thermoforming process, wherein the substrate is formed into an automotive component, and methods for making the same.

- (2) It has come to my attention that one or more companies and/or individuals, including but not limited to, Guardian Automotive Corporation of Auburn Hills, Michigan, was causing automotive components to be made that were formed with thermoformable support films as recited in at least some of the claims of the above-indicated application, and, furthermore, was selling those same automotive components that were formed with thermoformable support films as recited in at least some of the claims of the above-indicated application. Accordingly, I believe that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use.
- (3) The information contained in paragraph (2) is supported, in part, from my review of Defendant's Response to Laminate Products, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories Directed to Guardian Automotive Corporation and Guardian Industries Corp. (Macomb County Circuit Court (Michigan), Civil Action No. 03-2010-CK) (copy attached hereto). More specifically, the information contained in paragraph (2) was gleaned from Interrogatory Nos. 9, 10 and 11, reproduced below:

INTERROGATORY NO. 9

Identify by detailed description the processes being used by Defendants for products under a contract with DaimlerChrysler on a job known as RS Cargo Van Panel covers.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9

Defendants object to this interrogatory because the terms, "detailed description," "processes," and "being used" are vague and indefinite. To the extent Defendants understand this interrogatory, they answer as follows:

Defendants buy from Ameriform a laminated part that is vacuum-formed and trimmed to have the specified shape. Defendants add butyl, clips, and brackets to the part. Then Defendants sell the part to DaimlerChrysler.

Ameriform buys blank laminated sheet from Avery Dennison, and Ameriform vacuum-forms and trims the sheet before selling it to Defendants.

Avery Dennison is responsible for supplying the blank laminated sheet to Ameriform. The blank sheet included a paint film layer made by Avery Dennison, an ABS layer made from resin from Bayer Polymers that is extruded by Spartech, and a mask from a Japanese manufacturer.

The mask was a flouropolymer mask from a Japanese company called Asahi. Avery had been aware of this mask for some time before its involvement with the RS program, and selected the mask on its own with no input of any kind from Guardian as to the characteristics of any mask from LPI. Guardian has directed that Avery no longer use this mask; and it is likely that any future manufacturing for the RS program will be with a Soliant mask having the designation, "Version 8." Soliant reports that this mask has been in existence for several years.

Avery causes the layers to be laminated at Positron. After the layers are laminated at Positron, they are sent on as a single blank sheet to Ameriform.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10

Identify by detailed description the processes being used by Defendants for thermoformable glossy scratch resistant topcoats on Olefin based/co-polymer substrate.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10

Defendants object to this interrogatory because the terms, "detailed description," "processes," and "being used" are vague and indefinite. Defendants understand the term, "being used," to mean "in commercial use—i.e. selling." To the extent Defendants understand this interrogatory, they answer as follows: none. Defendants use an ABS substrate, not an olefin based/copolymer substrate.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Identify by detailed description the processes being used by Defendants for thermoformable glossy scratch resistant topcoats on ABS substrate.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Defendants object to this interrogatory because the terms, "detailed description," "processes" and "being used" are vague and indefinite. Defendants understand the term, "being used," to mean "in commercial use – i.e. selling." To the extent Defendants understand this interrogatory, they answer as follows:

Defendants buy from Ameriform a laminated part that is vacuumformed and trimmed to have the specified shape. Defendants add butyl, clips and brackets to the part. Then Defendants sell the part to DaimlerChrysler.

Ameriform buys blank laminated sheet from Avery Dennison, and Ameriform vacuum-forms and trims the sheet before selling it to Defendants.

Avery Dennison is responsible for supplying the blank laminated sheet to Ameriform. The blank sheet included a paint film layer made by Avery Dennison, an ABS layer made from resin from Bayer Polymers that is extruded by Spartech, and a mask from a Japanese manufacturer.

The mask was a flouropolymer mask from a Japanese company called Asahi. Avery had been aware of this mask for some time before its involvement with the RS program, and selected the mask on its own with no in put of any kind from Guardian as to the characteristics of any mask from LPI. Guardian has directed that Avery no longer use this mask; and it is likely that any future manufacturing for the RS program will be with a Soliant mask having the designation "Version 8." Soliant reports that this mask has been in existence for several years.

Avery causes the layers to be laminated at Positron. After the layers are laminated at Positron, they are sent on as a single blank sheet to Ameriform.

- (4) I have made a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product, or method with the claims of the above-indicated application, and that, in my opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed.
- (5) In support of the assertion of paragraph (4), it is my belief that the Asahi fluoropolymer mask referred to in the Defendant's Response to Interrogatory No. 11 is identical to, or at least equivalent to, the fluoropolymer support films disclosed in the Applicants above-identified application, e.g., TEFZEL and/or AFLEX, the relevant portions of which are set forth below:

[0246] By way of a non-limiting example, the alternative support film 110 is preferably comprised of ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene materials (ETFE), such as but not limited to TEFZEL, an ETFE material readily commercially available from DuPont (Wilmington, Delaware).

[0247] By way of a non-limiting example, the typical mechanical and thermal properties of a film of TEFZEL material are set forth in Table III, below:

TABLE III

		Typical Value		
<u>Property</u>	Test Method (ASTM)	SI Units (Machine Direction/ Transverse Direction)	English Units (Machine Direction/ Transverse Direction)	
Tensile Strength at Break	D-882	234/48 Mpa	34,000/7,000 psi	
Elongation at Break	D-882	45/650%	45/650%	
Elastic Modulus	D-882	73,500/900 Mpa	500,000/130,000 psi	
Tensile Creep (3,000 psi/1000 hr)	· 	0.8/8.0%	0.8/8.0%	
Tear Strength (Prop.)	D-1922	10.5/2.3 N	2.3/0.5 lb	
Impact Resistance	D- 3420B	66J/mm	14.8 in-lb/mil	
Melt Point	DTA	270°C	520°F	
Service Temp. (Cont.)		150°C	300°F	
Oxygen Index	D-2863	30%	30%	
Dimensional Stability – Type M 105°C (221°F) 150°C (302°F)	DuPont	4.0/1.2% 4.0/1.2%	1.0/1.2% 1.0/1.2%	
Shrinkable 200°C (392°F) (Heat Shrink Type)		23/7%	23/7%	

[0248] Another ETFE material is marketed under the trade name AFLEX, and is readily commercially available from Asahi Glass Company, Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). By way of a non-limiting example, the typical properties of a film of AFLEX material are set forth in Table IV, below:

TABLE IV

Property	ASTM	Conditions	Value
Specific gravity	D-792	4000	1.70- 1.76
Refractive Index	D-542	Np	1.40
Absorption	D-570	24 hours	<0.1 %
Molding shrinkage			0.02- 0.03
Contact angle		Water	96°
Coefficient of linear expansion	D-69	23-60°C	(5-9) X 10E-4 1/°K
Melting point			500°F
Melting viscosity		300- 330°C	10E-4 1.00E- 05 Poise
Maximum cont. temp.			302°F
Tensile strength	D-638	73°F	5.8- 7.0 Kpsi
Elongation	D-638	73°F	34%
Tensile modulus	D-638	73°F	71- 110 Kpsi
Flexural modulus	D-790	73°F	128- 199 Kpsi
Impact test	D-256	73°F Izod No break Ft- Ib/in	
Hardness	D-638	Durometer	Shore D75

(6) I had previously caused INSTRON testing to be performed on the fluoropolymer support film materials disclosed in the Applicants above-identified

application, as well as several other conventional films and masks, to determine the tensile strengths thereof, the results of which were set forth in the Applicants above-identified application, the relevant portions of which are reproduced below:

[0377] To determine the tensile (i.e., yield) strength of the various materials of the present invention, as compared to conventional materials typically used in thermoforming operations, INSTRON testing was conducted on several samples, as set forth in Table V, below. The samples were cut into 1-inch x 6-inch strips that were cut in the flow direction. The test temperature was 300°F. The crosshead speed was 20 inches per minute. The grip distance was 2 inches. All of the following measurements were obtained in the machine direction.

TABLE V

Sample	Yield Strength PSI (PLI)	Elongation @ Yield %	Break Strength PSI (PLI)	Elongation @ Break %	Break Result
Soliant 1 mil sample release film #1	245 (0.245)	25	>591 (>0.591)	>411	No Break
Soliant 1 mil sample release film #2	285 (0.285)	35.8	>693 (>0.693)	>419	No Break
Kurz 1 mil sample release film #1	371 (0.371)	34	>1678 (>1.678)	>418	No Break
DuPont (TEDLAR) 1 mil support film sample #1	819 (0.819)	41	>2097 (>2.097)	>402	No Break
DuPont (TEDLAR) 1 mil support film sample #2	788 (0.788)	45.3	>1573 (>1.573)	>412	1 of 3 Broke
Combined Soliant sample release film #1 and DuPont (TEDLAR) support film sample #1 (1.5 mil total)	625 (0.94)	47	>1630 (>1.630)	>418	1 of 3 Broke
Combined Soliant sample release film #2 and DuPont (TEDLAR) support film sample #2 (1.5 mil total)	613 (0.92)	51	>1534 (>2.301)	>419	1 of 3 Broke
DuPont (TEFZEL) 0.5 mil support film sample #1	2198 (1.10)	43.6	1701 (0.851)	217	Break
DuPont (TEFZEL) 0.5 mil support film sample #2	2602 (1.3)	52.5	2252 (1.126)	191	Break

- (7) It is my belief that because Guardian Automotive Corporation has admitted to causing automotive components to be made that were formed with thermoformable support films as recited in at least some of the claims of the above-indicated application, and, furthermore, was selling those same automotive components that were formed with thermoformable support films as recited in at least some of the above-indicated application, Guardian Automotive Corporation, and possibly other companies and/or individuals, are actually infringing at least some of the claims of the above-indicated application.
- (8) I have made, or caused to be made, a careful and thorough search of the prior art or, in the alternative, I have a good knowledge of the pertinent prior art. A copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims of the above-indicated application, were submitted in conjunction with an Information Disclosure Statement that was mailed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on March 24, 2004.

I declare that all statements made herein are true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such false statements may jeopardize the validity of this document and of the patent application to which it relates.

Signed at Kingston Michigan, this April 8th day of April , 2004.

Robert LaFave